A Google Scholar H-Index for Journals: A Better Metric to Measure Journal Impact in Economics & Business?

Anne-Wil Harzing Ron van der Wal

Version November 2007

Paper submitted to the 2008 Academy of Management Annual Meeting August 8-13, 2008 - Anaheim, California

Copyright © 2007 Anne-Wil Harzing and Ron van der Wal. All rights reserved.

Dr. Anne-Wil Harzing University of Melbourne Department of Management Faculty of Economics & Commerce Parkville Campus Melbourne, VIC 3010 Australia Email: anne-wil@harzing.com Web: www.harzing.com

A Google Scholar H-Index for Journals: A Better Metric to Measure Journal Impact in Economics & Business?

Anne-Wil Harzing

Department of Management and Marketing, University of Melbourne Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia p: +61 3 8344 3724; f: +61 3 9349 4293 harzing@unimelb.edu.au

Ron van der Wal Tarma Software Research, GPO Box 4063, Melbourne, Victoria 3001 Australia support@tarma.com

Abstract

We propose a new metric and data source to assess journal impact in the field of Economics & Business. The metric – Hirsch's h-index – improves on the traditionally used ISI Journal Impact Factor by providing a more robust and less time-sensitive measure of journal impact. The data source – Google Scholar – provides a more comprehensive coverage of citations than ISI, including citations in books, conference and working papers and non-ISI indexed journals. A systematic comparison between the Google Scholar h-index and the ISI Journal Impact Factor for a sample of 838 journals in Economics & Business shows that the former provides a more accurate and more comprehensive measure of journal impact.

Key words: Google Scholar, journal impact, publish or perish, h-index, journal impact factor

1. Introduction

- "Why the impact factor of journals should not be used for evaluating research." (Seglen, 1997)
- "Sense and nonsense of science citation analyses: comments on the monopoly position of ISI and citation inaccuracies." (Reedijk, 1998)
- *"Citation analysis and journal impact factors is the tail wagging the dog?"* (Gisvold, 1999)
- *"The citation impact factor in social psychology: a bad statistic that encourages bad science?"* (McGarty, 2000)
- "The impact factor: time for change" (Bloch & Walter, 2001).
- "Impact factors: facts and myths" (Whitehouse, 2002)
- "Trends in the Usage of ISI Bibliometric Data: Uses, Abuses, and Implications" (Cameron, 2005).
- "The Journal Impact Factor: Too Much of an Impact?" (Ha, Tan & Soo, 2006)

Although the creation of rankings of academic journals is common practice in many fields of research, the activity is not without contention or critique (see e.g. McDonald & Kam, 2007). Whilst recognising and sympathising with this position, the present paper takes a pragmatic stance and reasons that as long as journal ranking are considered to be part of academic life, it is important to ensure that they are as comprehensive and objective as possible.

In general we can distinguish two broad approaches to ranking journals: stated preference (or peer review) and revealed preference (Tahai & Meyer, 1999). Stated preference involves members of particular academic community ranking journals on the basis of their own expert judgements. These are often undertaken by particular universities or departments in order to help make decisions about, for example, library budgets, promotion or tenure, and national research evaluations, such as the Research Assessment Exercise in the UK or the RQF in Australia. As a result there are hundreds of individual university journal rankings in existence and integrated journal ranking lists have sprung up that combine a range of rankings (see e.g. the British ABS Quality Journal Guide and Harzing's Journal Quality List). Opinions might be based on anything from a large-scale worldwide survey of academics to a small group of individuals with decision-making power, but will always contain some element of subjectivity (see also Groot & Garcia-Valderrama (2006) for a summary of the problems associated with peer review methods).

Revealed preference rankings are based on *actual* publication behaviour and generally measure the citation rates of journals using Thomson ISI's Web of Knowledge. Most commonly used are the ISI Journal Citation Reports (JCR), which provide the yearly Journal Impact Factors (JIF). The JIF is defined as the mean number of citations received in a particular year to articles published in the journal in the preceding two years. It is calculated by dividing the number of citations to articles published in these two years. As the selection of article titles at the beginning of this paper shows, this statistic is by no means undisputed.

Mingers & Harzing (2007) show that there is a fairly high degree of correlation between journal rankings based on stated and revealed preference¹. However, as Tahai & Meyer (1999) point out, stated preference studies have long memories and perceptions of journals normally change only very slowly in these rankings. As such, revealed preference studies provide a fairer assessment of new journals or journals that have only recently improved their standing. Hence revealed preference studies could be argued to present a more accurate picture of journal impact.

However, even though there have been quite a number of revealed preference studies in the field of Economics and Business (see e.g. Tahai & Meyer, 1999; Dubois & Reeb, 2000; Baumgartner & Pieter, 2003) these studies focused on either a very limited group of top journals or on a small group of journal in a particular sub-discipline. Whilst this is important, one can argue that the standing of top journals in most disciplines is well-known as are the relative rankings of journals in most sub-disciplines. Where guidance is required is for both the large number of journals below the immediate top and the journals outside one's immediate area of research expertise. In this paper, we therefore aim to provide such guidance by including information about more than 800 journals in the broad field of Economics & Business. In doing so, we also introduce new citation metrics and a new source of data to accommodate the critique levelled at the Thomson ISI Journal Impact Factors. In terms of the first, we used the recently introduced h-index (Hirsch, 2005) and g-index (Egghe, 2006) to measure journal impact rather than the ISI Journal Impact Factor (JIF). In terms of the second, we used Google Scholar instead of the ISI Web of Knowledge as our data source. Our article provides a detailed benchmarking exercise of both the new metrics and the new data source against the ISI Journal Impact Factor (JIF).

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. First, we discuss our choice of data source and metrics in more detail and provide information about the methods we used to collect our data. Then we present the results of our benchmarking exercise, first at an aggregate level and then at the level of seven sub-disciplines. We also provide an analysis of the h-index of journals not covered in the ISI JCR. We conclude that in the field of Economics and Business, the Google Scholar based h-index provides a better alternative for journal rankings than the ISI JIF. However, we do caution any users of citation data that, regardless of the source used, it is article citation rates that determine journal metrics, not the other way around. Hence, when performing a bibliometric analysis for individuals rather than journals, the article in question is the proper unit of analysis, not the journal.

¹ This is also generally found to be true for evaluation of research groups. In a study comparing bibliometric analysis and peer review for six economics research groups in the Netherlands, Nederhof and van Raan (1993) found the two methods to be complementary and mutually supportive. In a later study of 56 research programmes in condensed matter physics in the Netherlands Rinia et al. (1998) found a high correlation between peer judgment and the average number of citations per paper.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

Since our aim was to cover a broader range of journals than in most previous studies we took the Harzing's Journal Quality List (Harzing, 2007a) as our basis. This list – now in its 28^h edition – includes a collation of twenty different rankings of 838 journals in the broad area of Business and Economics. It appears to have become quite influential: a search for the terms "Journal Quality List" AND Harzing results in 559 Google hits (134 unique hits) and the list has been cited 20 times in ISI listed journals (data for November 2007).

2.2. Data source: ISI Web of knowledge versus Google Scholar

In this paper we use Google Scholar as a data source instead of the ISI Web of Knowledge. There are several papers that provide good overviews of the problems associated with the use of the ISI Web of Knowledge as a data source (e.g. Seglen, 1997; Cameron, 2005). Most of these problems revolve around ISI's limited coverage, especially in the Social Sciences and Humanities. Previous studies have highlighted problems such as: the lack of coverage of citations in books, conference and working papers as well as citations in journals not included in ISF; the lack of inclusion of journals in languages other than English in the ISI database; and the US bias in the journals included in the database. Poor aggregation of citations to minor variations of the same title is also listed as a disadvantage of the ISI Social Science Citation Index in relation to Google Scholar (Reedijk, 1998; Harzing, 2007b).

Harzing (2007b) provides a fairly detailed comparison of ISI Web of Knowledge and Google Scholar and also concludes that lack of coverage is ISI's main problem. Disadvantages of Google Scholar are its inclusion of non-scholarly citations, double counting of citations, less frequent updating, uneven coverage across disciplines and less comprehensive coverage of older publications/citations. Harzing (2007b) argues that the problem of non-scholarly citations and double counting is fairly limited and attenuated by the use of robust citation metrics such as the h-index (see below). The last three limitations are not relevant for the analyses presented in this paper as we focus on a discipline that has good Google Scholar coverage and on citations to papers between 2000-2005.

2.3. CITATIONS METRICS USED

2.3.1. Problems associated with the Journal Impact Factor

The citation metrics used in this paper are the h-index (Hirsch, 2005) and g-index (Egghe, 2006), calculated over a 5-year period. There are several commonly mentioned problems (see e.g. Seglen, 1997; Cameron, 2005 for a summary) with the use of the ISI Journal Impact Factor, the most important of which are the use of a 2-year citation window and technical issues related to the calculation of the JIF.

When JIFs were introduced by Garfield in the 1960s, his focus was on biochemistry and molecular biology, disciplines that are characterised by a high number of citations and short publication lags (Cameron, 2005). Hence the use of a 2-year citation window might have been justified. However, this is not true for most other disciplines where knowledge takes much longer to be disseminated. McGarty (2000) discusses the problems associated with the 2-year JIF in some detail. He shows that the publication lags for two important Psychology journals are such that for a typical paper published in these journals, two thirds of the literature that could theoretically be included in the JIF (i.e. papers published in the two years preceding publication of the referencing paper) was yet to be published at the time of submission. A perusal of the last issue of 2007 of the *Journal of International Business Studies* shows that the problem is at least as severe in Business Studies. Even in this most optimistic case (i.e. the final issue of 2007) we find very few references to publications in 2005 and 2006 in the ten articles published in this issue. Out of the more than 700 references in this issue, only 20 referred to publications in 2005 and a mere 7 to publications. This is not entirely surprising given that of the ten papers in this issue, six were submitted **before** 2005 (four in 2004, one

² Cameron reports that in 1997 it was estimated that the SCI covered a mere 2.5% of the world's scientific journals.

in 2003, one in 2002). Of the remaining four, two were submitted in January and February 2005 and hence cannot realistically be expected to include references to 2005 papers. The final two papers were submitted in January 2006 and May 2006. Hence a full 88% of the literature that could theoretically be included in the JIF was yet to be published at the time of submission.³ The fact that we find **any** references to papers published in 2005 and 2006 in these articles is most likely due to these references being included in the revision process. As McGarty (2000:14) aptly summarises: "*The two year impact factor clearly favors journals which publish work by authors who cite their own forthcoming work and who are geographically situated to make their work readily available in preprint form. The measure punishes journals which publish the work of authors who do not have membership of these invisible colleges and is virtually incapable of detecting genuine impact. It is not just a bad measure, it is an invitation to do bad science"*

In addition to the limitations associated with a 2-year window, there are several technical or statistical problems with the way the JIF is calculated. First, whilst the denominator in the JIF (the number of articles published) only includes normal articles (so called "source" items), the numerator includes citations to *all* publications in the journal in question, including editorials, letters, and book reviews (Cameron, 2005). This means that citations in these latter publications are basically "free" as the increase in the numerator is not matched by an increase in the denominator. As a result, journals with a lively letter/correspondence section (such as for instance Nature) will show inflated JIFs. This problem is compounded by the fact that many journals have increased the proportion of "non-source" items over time. Gowrishankar & Divakar (1999) indicate the proportion of source items to nonsource items in Nature declined from 3.5 to 1.6 between 1977 and 1997. This particular JIF feature also enables manipulation of the JIF by unscrupulous editors who can inflate their JIF by referring frequently to journal articles or even to other editorials in their editorials (Whitehouse, 2002) or by requesting their authors to include spurious self-citations to the journal (Gowrishankar & Divakar, 1999). Bayliss, Gravenor & Kao (1999) argue that even a single research institute could increase the JIF of a journal that publishes few papers from 1 to 6 by asking each of its researchers to cite two papers in that journal.

The second calculation problem is statistical in nature: the JIF calculates the mean number of citations to an article in the journal in question. However, many authors have found that citation distributions are extremely skewed. Seglen (1997) for instance found the most cited 15% of papers to account for 50% of citations and the most cited 50% for 90% of the citations. Hence on average the most cited half of papers are cited nine times as much as the least cited half. As any Statistics 101 module teaches its students: the mean is an inappropriate measure of central tendency if the distribution is highly skewed. The median would be a far more appropriate measure in this respect.

2.3.2. Alternative measures of journal impact: h-index and g-index

In this paper we use two relatively new citation metrics: the h-index and the g-index. The h-index was introduced by Hirsch (2005) and is defined as follows: "A scientist has index h if h of his/her Np papers have at least h citations each, and the other (Np-h) papers have no more than h citations each." As a result the h-index provides a combination of both quantity (number of papers) and quality (impact, or citations to these papers) (Glänzel, 2006). Therefore, the h-index is preferable to simply measuring the total number of citations as it corrects for "one hit wonders", i.e. academics who might have authored (or even be the 20th co-author of) one or a limited number of highly-cited papers, but have not shown an academic performance that has been sustained over a longer period of time. The h-index is also preferable over a simple measurement of the number of papers published as it corrects for papers that are not cited and hence can be argued to have had limited impact on the field. In sum, the h-index favours academics that publish a continuous stream of papers with lasting and above-average impact (Bornmann & Daniel, 2007).

If a paper would be submitted in 2007, it would have access to 24 months of literature that could be included in the JIF. If we assume submission at the end of the months a paper submitted in May 2006 would have access to 17 months of literature, a paper submitted in January 2005 to one month of literature. Hence the total literature available to be cited at the time of submission is: 1 + 2 + 13 + 17 months = 33 months, divided by 240 months (10 papers) = 13.75%. Please note that this is a best-case scenario, as we assume submission at the end of the month and consideration of new references up until the very moment of submission.

The h-index has resulted in a flurry of commentaries and articles published in journals such as *Scientometrics* and *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* and has generally received a positive reception. Perhaps the strongest indication that the h-index is becoming a generally accepted measure of academic achievement is that ISI Thompson has now included it as part of its new "citation report" feature in the Web of Science. Examples of the application of the h-index to journals are still scarce (though see Braun, Glänzel & Schubert (2005) and Saad (2006)). However, the arguments above would also apply to journals. We are interested in whether journals publish a continuous stream of papers with lasting and above-average impact.

A disadvantage of the h-index is that it ignores the number of citations to each individual article over and above what is needed to achieve a certain h-index. Therefore an academic or journal with an h-index of 6 could theoretically have a total of 36 citations (6 for each paper), but could also have more than a 5,000 citations (5 papers with 1,000 citations each and one paper with 6 citations). Of course, in reality these extremes will be very unlikely. However, it is true that once a paper belongs to the top h papers, its subsequent citations no longer "count". Hence, in order to give more weight to highly-cited articles Leo Egghe (2006) proposed the g-index. The g-index is defined as follows: [Given a set of articles] ranked in decreasing order of the number of citations that they received, the g-index is the (unique) largest number such that the top g articles received (together) at least g^2 citations. Although the g-index has not yet attracted much attention or empirical verification, it would seem to be a very useful complement to the h-index.

2.3.3. Advantages of the h-index and g-index

The h-index and g-index have several important advantages over the Thomson ISI JIF. First of all, these indices do not have an artificially fixed time horizon. The metrics used in the present paper were computed in October 2007 over a five-year period (2001-2005) in order to enable a comparison with the average JIF for 2003-2007 (for further details see the section on procedures). However, any time horizon could be used, rather than focusing on citations in one particular year to the two preceding years as is the case with the Thomson ISI JIF.

Second, the h-index, and to a lesser extent the g-index, attenuates the impact of one highlycited article, because – unlike citations-per-paper measures such as the JIF – the h-index and g-index are not based on mean scores. As explained above, in a citations-per-paper metric even one highlycited article can cause a very strong increase in the average number of citations per paper for the journal in question, leading to possibly highly idiosyncratic and variable results. When we choose to evaluate journal impact through citation impact measures, we are interested in the **overall** citation impact of the journal, not in the citation impact of one or two highly cited individual papers in that journal. Therefore, just like the h-index for authors provides a measure of their *sustained* and *durable* research performance, the h-index for journals provides a robust measure of sustained and durable performance of *journals*, rather than articles.

Third, both the h-index and g-index are influenced to some extent by the number of papers that a journal publishes. A journal that publishes a larger number of papers has a higher likelihood of generating a higher h-index and g-index since every article presents another chance for citations. This may be seen as a disadvantage when evaluating the standing of individual articles in a journal (or an individual academic based on this metric) as this measure should not be dependent on the number of articles published in that journal. However, one cannot deny that a journal that publishes a larger number of high-impact papers has a bigger impact on the field (see also Gisvold, 1999). Given that impact on the field is what we attempt to measure in this article, this feature of the h-index and g-index is an advantage rather than a disadvantage.

2.4 PROCEDURES

The metrics used in this paper were calculated using a command line version of Publish or Perish (http://www.harzing.com/pop.htm), a software programme that retrieves and analyses academic citations. Searches were conducted in the first week of October 2007. Where relevant we searched for spelling variations of a journal (e.g. British vs. American spelling, the use of "and" vs. the use of "&", spelling of composite words with or without a hyphen). Some journals also have abbreviated titles that are commonly used (e.g. all SIAM journals and many Psychology journals) and hence these were

included as alternatives. If a title included very common words, e.g. *Journal of Management*, we conducted searches with the ISSN instead. Unfortunately, Google Scholar's results for ISSN searches seem to be rather erratic and hence this alternative was only used if the ISSN search provided a comprehensive result for the journal in question.

The results of all automatic search queries were inspected for incomplete or inconsistent results. For those journals where neither a title search nor an ISSN search provided good results (14 in total), we conducted a manual search with Publish or Perish and manually excluded false hits. This process left us with only two dozen journals (out of 838) that had substantially incomplete coverage and for which metrics could not be calculated. Eight of these were research annuals in book format (the Elsevier Advances in ... series and the Research in ... series). For other journals our visual inspection might have overlooked occasional missing articles, but this is unlikely to impact much on robust measures such as the h-index and g-index unless they happen to be highly cited. We have no reason to believe that this was the case. On the contrary, highly cited articles appear to be less likely to be missing from the Google Scholar database than lowly cited or uncited articles.

Our Google Scholar searches included citations to articles published between 2001-2005. This timeframe was chosen to be comparable with a five-year average for the Journal Impact Factors of the last five available years (2003-2006). These impact factors refer to citations in articles published between 2001 and 2005. Ideally, we would have preferred to include the JIF for 2007, but that metric did not come out until half a year after the analysis conducted for this paper. Moreover, given that Google Scholar displays some delay in its data processing for some journals, using the 2006 JIF is likely to give a dataset that is fairly comparable to Google Scholar in October 2007.

Supplementary analyses reported below with regard to the extent of concentration of citations within a particular journal were conducted in October 2007 with the general search function of ISI that allows the user to rank articles by citation.

3. Results and discussion of the benchmarking analysis

3.1. OVERALL COMPARISON OF JIF AND H-INDEX

There are 536 journals in the Journal Quality List that have both an ISI JIF for 2003-2006 and a Google Scholar h-index or g-index. The correlation⁴ between these measures is strong and very significant: 0.718 (p < 0.000) between the ISI JIF and the h-index, 0.717 (p < 0.000) between the ISI JIF and the g-index and 0.976 (p < 0.000) between the h-index and g-index. Given that these two sets of indices have different data sources (ISI Thomson JCR versus Google Scholar) and provide different metrics (a mean citations per paper count over 2 years for the ISI JIF and a combined quantity/quality measure over 5 years for the h-index and g-index) this strong correlation is quite remarkable. Given the extremely high correlation between the h-index and g-index, in the remainder of this paper we will focus on the h-index and provide a comparison between the ISI JIF and the h-index.

Figure 1 shows a scatterplot of the average ISI JIF for 2003-2006 and Google Scholar h-index for articles published between 2001 and 2005. A red line shows the regression equation. Outliers above the line are journals that have a high JIF in comparison to their h-index. Most of the major outliers above the regression line are Psychology journals, which generally – similar to journals in the Sciences – have very high immediacy index, i.e. a lot of citations to these journals occur quickly after publication. For example, the 2006 immediacy index for the *Annual Review of Psychology* (4.091) is more than ten times as high as that of the *American Economic Review* (0.335). This means that when comparing these two journals over a 2-year period the *Annual Review of Psychology* will always show a higher impact factor than the *American Economic Review*, whereas the difference will be much smaller if we consider a 5-year period. This example clearly illustrates the folly of comparing ISI JIFs between disciplines.

The other major outlier is *ACM Computing Surveys*, which has had wildly varying JIFs over the years, from .64 in 2001 and 2.77 in 2002 to impact factor between 7.4 and 10.0 2003-2005. However, the very high impact factors in these years appear to be mainly caused by two very highly cited articles published in 2002 and 2003 (for an even more striking case of this phenomenon see the

As both the JIF and h/g-index have non-normal distributions we used a Spearman correlation.

discussion of *SIAM Review* below), whilst most of the relatively small number of papers published in this journal (63 over five years) are not particularly well-cited.

The two main outliers below the regression line are *The Journal of Finance* and *The American Economic Review* that have a very high h-index in comparison to their JIF. This difference is most likely caused by the fact that articles in these journals are cited very heavily in working papers (e.g. papers from the *National Bureau of Economic Research* or the *Tinbergen Institute*) and government policy documents, neither of which are included in Thomson ISI JIF.

3.2. Overall comparison without psychology journals and major outliers

Figure 2 shows a scatterplot of the average ISI JIF and Google Scholar h-index with the exclusion of Psychology journals and the three main outliers discussed above: *ACM Computing Surveys, Journal of Finance* and the *American Economic Review*. In this figure the most striking outlier is *SIAM Review*, with several Sociology/Geography journals also showing a high JIF in comparison to their h-index. Other less striking outliers are also visible in Figure 2, but these will be discussed in the review of the sub-disciplines below.

SIAM Review had an average JIF of 2.75 between 2001 and 2003 and a JIF of 2.67 in 2006. However, in 2004 and 2005 its JIF was 6.12 and 7.21 respectively causing a very high average JIF between 2003 and 2006. Reviewing the JIF for 2004 and 2005 in detail showed that the very high impact factor was nearly entirely caused by the very large number of citations to **one** particular journal article published in 2003 ("The structure and function of complex networks" by MEJ Newman). In October 2007 this particular article had been cited 998 times, twelve times more than the next highest cited article published in 2003. In fact, in October 2007 the Newman paper alone makes up for 80% of the citations to *SIAM review* in 2003; the other twenty papers published in 2003 together have only 249 citations. This example clearly shows the danger of relying on mean-value metrics, which can be heavily influenced by individual outliers.

The Sociology/Geography outliers are caused by a less extreme occurrence of the same problem, i.e. very concentrated citation scores. For the *Annual Review of Sociology* for instance, the top 3 (out of 104) papers make up nearly one third of the total number of citations. Hence whilst its JIF may be reasonably high, its h-index is modest, as citations taper off quickly after the first highly-cited papers.

3.3. A NALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL SUB-DISCIPLINES

The Journal Quality List includes journals in fifteen different sub-disciplines. However, for some of these sub-disciplines only a small number of journals are included, either because the sub-discipline is a very specialised area (e.g. Innovation, Entrepreneurship, Tourism) or because the Journal Quality List only includes a small subset of journals in the sub-discipline in question (e.g. Psychology/Sociology) that are relevant to Economics & Business. Overall, there are seven sub-disciplines that have a substantial number (more than 60) journals included in the JQL: Economics, Finance & Accounting, General Management & Strategy, Management Information Systems & Knowledge Management, Management Science/Operations Research/Production & Operations Management, Marketing, and Organization Studies/Behavior and Human Resource Management & Industrial Relations. Taken together these seven sub-disciplines cover 75% of the journals in the Journal Quality List. Below we provide a detailed benchmarking analysis for each of these sub-disciplines.

3.3.1. Economics

As Table 1 shows there are 168 (167 excluding *American Economic Review*) Economics journals in the Journal Quality List, 122 of which (74%) are ISI indexed. The correlation between the ISI JIF and the GS h-index for these journals is slightly above the average at 0.732 (p < 0.000). Figure 3 shows this relationship visually. Most journals cluster around the regression line. Two important outliers that show higher journal impact factors than h-indices are the *Journal of Economic Literature* and the *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, both of which have a JIF above 4.5, but modest h-indices.

Table 1: Summary statistics

Sub-field	No. of journals in the JQL	No. of ISI- indexed journals	Spearman correlation between h- index & JIF
Economics	168	122	0.732***
Finance & Accounting	94	28	0.721***
General Management & Strategy	63	27	0.891***
Mgmt Information Systems & Knowledge Mgmt	81	61	0.774***
Mgmt Science; Operations Research; Production & Operations Mgmt	87	70	0.733***
Marketing	65	25	0.841***
Organization Behaviour/Studies; HRM & Industrial Relations	71	45	0.633***
Others	209	158	0.764***
Total	838	536	0.718***

*** p < 0.000

Journal of Economic Literature publishes a relatively small number of articles per year (15-20), so that even though most of these are highly cited, it will be difficult for the journal to achieve a very high h-index. This is almost an exact counter case to the American Economic Review, which publishes around 160-170 articles per year that on average are not as highly cited as articles in the Journal of Economic Literature. Overall, however, the American Economic Review has a much larger total number of articles that are highly cited and we therefore argue that the h-index correctly identifies its more substantial contribution to the field of Economics.

In the case of the *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, it seems that Google Scholar is at fault as it misses a number of highly cited papers in this journal. Its automatic parsing mechanism seems to have misclassified them under the wrong journal. For instance 'Understanding social preferences with simple tests' by Charness & Rabbin (2002) is assigned to the (non-existing?) journal*Technology*. Several other papers are listed under their earlier (and highly cited?) publications as a NBER working paper. In this case it is clear we should ignore the Google Scholar results and give preference to the ranking presented by the ISI JIF.

The other outliers are less prominent, though we can distinguish a number of health economics journals that are likely to display the high immediacy of Science journals and hence fare better on the 2-year JIF. The *Journal of Economic Geography* and the *Journal of Economic Growth* also publish a relatively small number of papers (15-25/year) and hence their JIFs are quite heavily influenced by a rather small number of highly cited papers. As a result their h-index is relatively low in comparison to their JIF. The JIFs for *Journal of Economic Geography* for 2004 and 2005 also seem to have been inflated by a highly cited editorial. As explained above citations to editorial materials and book reviews are included in the numerator of the JIF, but they are not included in the denominator, thus artificially inflating the JIF. *Demography* publishes a larger number of papers (app. 200 in 5 years), but also has a highly concentrated citation pattern, with its most cited paper between 2001 and 2005 taking up nearly 10% of total citations.

On the other side of the spectrum are *Review of Economics & Statistics, Research Policy* and *European Economic Review* that have a relatively high Google Scholar h-index in comparison to their more modest ISI JIF. The mains reason for this appears to be that all three journals show a large number of citations in working papers and policy documents, or journals not covered by ISI. Hence the h-index captures their significant impact beyond academic journals.

3.3.2. Finance & Accounting

As Table 1 shows there are 94 journals in the Finance & Accounting category (93 excluding the *Journal of Finance*), but only 28 have both an ISI JIF and a Google Scholar h-index; only 30% of the Finance & Accounting journals listed in the JQL are ISI indexed. The correlation between the ISI JIF and the GS h-index for these journals is slightly higher than average at 0.721 (p < 0.000).

Figure 4 shows that although many journals cluster close to the regression line, there are a number of significant outliers. Two important outliers on the left-hand side (i.e. higher JIF than h-index) are *Journal of Accounting & Economics* and *Review of Accounting Studies. Journal of Accounting & Economics* has rather variable JIFs. In 2001-2002 and 2004-2005 its average JIF was around 1.7 which would place it very close to the regression line. However, in both 2003 and 2006 its impact factor more than doubled. Reviewing the individual articles revealed a small number of highly cited papers in 2001 and 2005, which – given the limited number of papers published yearly in this

journal – have a significant impact on its JIF. *Review of Accounting Studies* has only been ISI indexed recently and only has a JIF for two years (2005 and 2006). Its JIF for 2006 is substantially higher than that for 2005. A review of individual articles published in 2005 showed one highly cited paper ("The role of analysts' forecasts in accounting-based valuation: A critical evaluation" by Q Cheng) that with 78 cites in October 2007 made up nearly two thirds of the total citations to articles in 2005; the remaining fifteen articles on average had only 3 cites each. Again a concentrated citation pattern, combined with a small number of published papers (51 in 5 years) results in a high JIF without a similar impact on the h-index.

Important outliers on the right-hand side (i.e. higher h-index than JIF) are: Journal of Money, Credit & Banking, Journal of Banking & Finance, Journal of International Money & Finance and International Journal of Finance & Economics. Papers in these journals often deal with issues relating to stock markets, credit rating and exchange rates and tend to be cited quite often in working papers (e.g. from the National Bureau of Economic Research) and policy documents (e.g. from the Federal Reserve Bank), or in journals not covered by ISI. As a result their Google Scholar h-index is much higher than their JIF that only measures impact in academic journals listed in ISI.

3.3.3. General Management & Strategy

As Table 1 shows out of the 63 journals in the General Management & Strategy category, there are only 27 (44%) that have both and ISI and a Google Scholar Ranking. However, for those journals that are ISI indexed the correlation between the JIF and their GS h-index is 0.891 (p < 0.000), the highest of all sub-disciplines.

It is therefore not surprising that there are relatively few important outliers in Figure 5. The main outliers on the left-hand side (high JIF in comparison to h-index) are two of the absolute top journals in the field of Management: Administrative Science Quarterly and Academy of Management Review. Administrative Science Quarterly and the Academy of Management Review have the highest impact factors of any journal in General Management & Strategy, but have a relatively lower h-index. With regard to ASQ, this appears to be caused mostly by the limited yearly number of papers published. Even though most of the articles published in this journal are fairly well-cited, ASQ only published a total of 92 papers (excluding editorials and book reviews) in the 5-year period and hence its ability to achieve a very high h-index is limited. One should also consider that even in a top journal such as ASQ, the citations received by individual articles are highly skewed: the ten most highly cited papers received 30% of the total citations, whilst the twenty most cited papers received 50% of the total number of citations. Journal of Management, Journal of Management Studies and Journal of International Business Studies show h-indices comparable to that of ASQ, even though they are generally seen to be lower in standing. However, these journals publish about two (for JM and JIBS) to three times (for JMS) as many papers per year as ASQ and hence have a higher likelihood of reaching a high h-index.

With regard to the *Academy of Management Review*, citations are even more heavily skewed than for ASQ. The top 4 most cited papers (dealing with key concepts such as social capital, absorptive capacity and the resource-based view (2x)) provide 21% of the total number of citations. Surpassing even ASQ, the 10 most cited papers provide 34% of the total citations and again the twenty (out of 153) most cited papers received 50%. Hence even though at 46 AMR has one of the highest h-indices for General Management journals, its concentrated citation pattern means that its h-index is still low in comparison to its JIF. And even though with around 150 papers (excluding editorials and book reviews) over five years it publishes more articles per year than ASQ, its empirical counterpart (*Academy of Management Journal*) publishes twice as many papers as AMR and hence has a higher likelihood of reaching a high h-index. Furthermore, more than half of the *Academy of Management Review* papers are classified as either editorials or book reviews. Citations to these non-source materials are included in the numerator of the JIF, but the non-source materials are not included in the denominator. Normally, this would not result in a significant distortion of the JIF as these non-source tend not to be highly cited in the field of Management, but the paper-length introductions to the many special issues and forums are also classified as editorials and these pieces tend to be highly cited.

The larger number of papers published (200-550 papers over 5 years) is also likely to lie behind the relatively high h-index for *Strategic Management Journal, Harvard Business Review*,

Sloan Management Review, Journal of Business and Journal of Management Studies. Further, the two practitioner journals (HBR and SMR) are also likely to be more highly cited in scholarly policy documents that are not incorporate in ISI. The same is likely to be true for Journal of Business that has many papers that would be cited in working papers (e.g. from the National Bureau of Economic Research) and policy documents (e.g. from the Federal Reserve Bank). Further, the UK-based Journal of Management Studies is likely to be more heavily cited in non-ISI listed European journals. Harzing and Van der Wal (2007) also showed that SMJ scores much better in Google Scholar than the general management journals, because many Strategy and IB journals that would heavily cite articles in SMJ are not ISI listed. As a result SMJ has a higher h-index than AMJ even though its JIF is lower.

3.3.4. Management Information Systems; Knowledge Management

As Table 1 shows of the 81 journals (60 without *ACM Computing Surveys*) MIS/KM journals in the Journal Quality List 61 (75%) are ISI indexed. The correlation between the journals' JIF and their Google Scholar h-index is very strong at 0.774 (p < 0.000).

As can be seen in Figure 6, the various ACM Transactions (on Data Base Systems, on Software Engineering and Methodology, on Information Systems) generally have low h-indices compared to their JIF. The same is true for Information Systems, Information Systems Research, Human Computer Interaction, MIS Quarterly and the Journal of Database Management. For the ACM Transactions this is most likely caused by the fact that they publish relatively few papers (between 60 and 90 over 5 years). This means on the one hand that an individual highly cited paper can substantially increase the JIF for certain years and on the other hand that it is more difficult to achieve a high h-index. The same is true for Human Computer Interaction which has highly variable JIFs ranging from 1.95 to 4.78. Again, a small number of published papers (60, excluding editorials), combined with individual highly-cited outliers and a substantial proportion of non-source materials (editorials) which account for 10% of the citation count, drive up the JIF, whilst not having the same impact on the h-index. Information Systems, Information Systems Research and MIS Quarterly also have widely varying JIFs (0.90-3.33, 1.17-3.51 and 1.80-4.98 respectively) and although they publish a larger number of papers than HCI, the number of published papers is still relatively small (100-150) and individual highly-cited outliers as well as highly cited editorials can still have a substantial impact on the JIF in some years. In MIS Quarterly for example, the top 4 most cited papers published between 2001-2005 (out of 138 papers) make up 25% of the total number of citations in October 2007. The Journal of Database Management has only been ISI-indexed in 2006 and hence its high JIF score might be idiosyncratic. It also publishes few papers (about 15 a year) and hence its ability to achieve a high h-index is limited.

The various *IEEE Transactions* and *Communications of the ACM* have a high h-index in comparison to their relatively modest JIF. This is partly due to the fact that articles in these journals are often cited in conference proceedings, which are the most important publication outlets in this field, but are not included in the ISI citation count. However, this is true to a large extent for the *ACM Transactions* as well. The main difference between the two groups of journals is the number of articles they publish. For the various *IEEE Transactions* this lies in the 350-600 range for a five-year period and for *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* even exceeds 1400, while for *Communications of the ACM* it approaches 1000. As a result, JIFs do not fluctuate as widely as for the other group of journals as they are not influenced by individual highly cited papers. On the other hand, the larger publication base makes it easier to achieve high h-indices, reflecting these journals' substantial impact on the field.

3.3.5. Management Science; Operations Research, Production & Operations Management

As Table 1 shows out of the 87 (86 without *SIAM Review*) MS/OR/POM journals in the Journal Quality List 70 (80%) are ISI indexed. The correlation between the ISI JIF and the GS h-index for these journals lies slightly above the average at 0.733 (p < 0.000) and is highly significant.

As figure 7 shows, both of the journals of the *Royal Statistical Society* as well as *Annals of Statistics* have relatively high JIFs in comparison to their Google Scholar h-index. This is caused by the fact that even though they publish a reasonably large number of papers, citations are highly concentrated. For JRSS-A and Annals of Statistics 25% of the citations go to the top 5 most cited

papers (out of some 150), for JRSS-B it is even only the two most cited papers (out of some 235) that make up 25% of total citations, with top-10 most cited papers making up 50% of the total number of citations. As we have seen before, a concentrated citation score will always artificially inflate the JIF and lead to a lower h-index in comparison to the JIF.

At the other end of the spectrum *Management Science, European Journal of Operational Research* and *Operations Research* have Google Scholar h-indices that are relatively high in comparison to their JIF. *Management Science* publishes a large number of papers (nearly 600 over five years) and has a very evenly spread citation pattern with the top 10 most highly cited papers in ISI in October 2007 having a very similar number of citations (between 41 and 55) and making up less than 10% of the total number of citations. *European Journal of Operational Research* publishes even more papers (nearly 2000 over five years) and show a similarly even spread in citations, with the top 10 most highly cited papers making up less than 5% of the total number of citations. *Operations Research* publishes fewer papers than the other two (but still nearly 400 over five years), but again has a very evenly spread citation pattern, with the top 10 most highly cited papers all having between 23 and 29 citations for a total of 13% of the total number of citations. As a result the JIFs for these journals are generally very stable and not influenced by individual highly-cited papers. The large number of papers and even spread of citations ensures a high h-index, reflecting these journals' substantial impact on the field.

3.3.6. Marketing

As Table 1 shows there are only 25 journals in the Marketing category that have both and ISI and a Google Scholar Ranking, 40% of the 65 Marketing journals in the Journal Quality List. However, for those journals that are ISI indexed the correlation between the JIF and their GS h-index is 0.841 (p < 0.000), the second highest of all sub-disciplines. It is therefore not surprising that there are relatively few important outliers in Figure 8.

The two top journals in Marketing, Marketing Science and Journal of Marketing, have JIFs that are relatively high in comparison to their Google Scholar h-index. Marketing Science has experienced an important increase in its JIF over recent years, from an average of 1.90 between 2001 and 2003, which would locate it close to the regression line, to an average of 3.72 between 2004 and 2006. This increase seems to have been caused by about a dozen of reasonably well-cited papers, few of which, however, were cited often enough to become part of the h-index. However, with Journal of Marketing, Journal of Marketing Research and Journal of Consumer Research, Marketing Science is still one of the four journals with the highest h-index in Marketing. Journal of Marketing's JIF has also increased substantially over recent years. After an average of 2.35 in 2001-2002, it was 2.85 on average in 2003-2004, which would pretty much locate it right on the regression line. It then jumped up to an average of 4.5 in 2005-2006. A more detailed analysis showed that this high recent JIF was mainly due to two highly-cited articles published in 2004 (which are in fact the two most highly-cited papers in the entire 2001-2005 period) that dealt with two key new issues in marketing: return on marketing and the service dominant logic. In October 2007 these two articles had a combined total of 200 citations, whilst the remaining articles in 2004 on average had about 10 citations. It remains to be seen whether Journal of Marketing's JIF will remain as high from 2007 onwards when the 2004 articles are no longer included. An inspection of papers published in 2005-6 does not identify any candidates likely to replace these highly-cited papers.

Industrial Marketing Management and Journal of Business Research are the two most important outliers at the other end of the spectrum with a relatively high Google Scholar h-index in comparison to their modest JIF. Both journals publish a relatively large number of papers (350 to 600 over five years) increasing their chances of reaching a high h-index. They are also cited quite often in journals that are not ISI indexed, such as *European Journal of Marketing, Journal of Business to Business Marketing* and *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, which increases their Google Scholar h-index over their JIF and better reflects their overall impact on the field of marketing.

3.3.7. Organization Behaviour/Studies; Human Resource Management & Industrial Relations

There are 45 OB/OS/HRM/IR journals in the Journal Quality List that are ISI indexed (62% of the total number of the 71 OB/OS/HRM/IR journals listed in the JQL). At 0.633 (p < 0.000) this sub-

discipline has the lowest correlation between the JIF and the GS h-index, but it is still high and very significant. Excluding the *Human Resource Management* and *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management* outliers (see below) raises this correlation to 0.685 (p < 0.000).

Several journals have a high JIF in relation to their Google Scholar h-index. The most striking cases are *Human Resource Management* and *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management* Harzing & Van der Wal (2007) already noted the discrepancy between *Human Resource Management's* JIF and h-index. Further investigation and an extensive email exchange with Thomson ISI representatives revealed that Thomson's search query for this journal's JIF included a substantial number of homographs referring to *Human Resource Management Review*, *Human Resource Management Journal* as well as books with *Human Resource Management* in their title. As a result the JIF for *Human Resource Management* was erroneously inflated. Although we have not been able to verify this, it is possible that the equally generic title *Industrial Relations*, which also has a higher JIF than h-index, suffers from the same problem.

The JIF for Journal of Organizational Behavior Management has fluctuated wildly, standing at for instance 1.79 in 2003 and 0.11 in 2004. In 2004 there were only two citations to articles published in 2003 and 2002. In 2003 there were no less than 52 citations to articles published in 2002 and 2001. All of the 34 citations to JOBM in 2002 came from JOBM articles (i.e. a 100% self-citation on a journal level), whilst 11 of the 18 citations to articles published in 2001 came from within the journal. Further investigation showed that a very large proportion of the citations to papers in 2002 consisted of within-issue citations in a special issue. The third and fourth most cited of all papers in JOBM between 2001 and 2005 were published in this issue. For the first paper seven out of its eleven citations were in the same special issue (the other four being in later issues of the same journal). For the second paper four of its ten citations were in the same special issue (five of the remaining six were in later issues of the **same** journal). Two other papers in this special issue had four (three) of their four (three) citations within the same issue. Three more papers in this special issue each had two of their two citations in this special issue. The 8th paper in this issue had four of its four citations in one and the same later issue of JOBM. The ninth paper in this special issue had no citations.⁵ Coincidentally, the special issue's topic was 'The Search for the Identity of Organizational Behavior Management'. Calling this special issue might well have been the best thing the editor ever did for the identity of the journal. The journal's relatively low Google Scholar h-index is due to Google Scholar's less than complete records for this journal. Google Scholar appears to have processed the papers published in the special issue, but does not seem to have parsed the citations in these papers. In this case, however, we would argue that this is probably for the best and even though Google Scholar is to some extent at fault, it provides a more realistic assessment of this journal's impact beyond a seemingly rather small academic circle.

On the other end of the spectrum we find journals that have a high h-index in comparison to their JIF. *Journal of Human Resources* publishes many policy oriented pieces dealing with the public sector that are heavily cited in working papers and policy papers as well as books not included in ISI. As a result the h-index better reflects its impact beyond academia. The *Journal of Business Ethics, Human Relations* and *International Journal of Human Resources Management*, all published out of Europe, combine a relatively large number of published papers with citations that are evenly spread across papers, as well as a high number of citations in European journals not indexed in ISI, all three of which increase their Google Scholar h-index in comparison to their JIF. Hence the h-index provides a more accurate reflection of their relatively broad impact on the field.

3.3.8. Overall conclusions about sub-discipline analysis

Overall, we have seen that there is a very substantial agreement between the ISI JIF and the Google Scholar h-index for most subdisciplines. This means that for those sub-disciplines that have very limited ISI coverage (Finance & Accounting, Marketing and General Management & Strategy) the Google Scholar h-index could provide an excellent alternative for the 56-70% of journals not covered

⁵ It appears that the 22 within-issue citations were counted in 2003 rather than in 2002 and hence erroneously contributed to the 2003 JIF. The immediacy index, which measures the number of citations in the same year, for 2002 only shows 3 citations in 2002 to articles published in 2002. An independent search with the cited work function in the Web of Science only shows 2 citations to 2002 articles in 2003 (both in the same article, published in JOBM) rather than the 34 citations listed in the Journal Citation Reports.

in ISI, especially given that for these disciplines the correlation between JIF and h-index for journals that did have ISI coverage ranged between .72 and .89. However, even for the other sub-disciplines the additional coverage provided by Google Scholar could be useful.

Where the ISI JIF and the Google Scholar h-index diverged this was generally caused by one of two factors. First, the sensitivity of the JIF to individual highly cited papers, which - especially for journals that publish relatively few papers – artificially inflates the JIF in comparison to the h-index. Using mean scores produces distorted results if distributions are non-normal. In this respect, the hindex is a much more robust measure. It is true that the h-index is influenced by the number of papers published and hence that journals that publish a lot of papers have a better chance to reach a high hindex. However, we would still argue that journals producing a larger number of highly cited papers have more impact on the field even if the *average* article in this journal is less highly cited than the *average* article in a journal that publishes fewer articles. As an illustrative example, journals such as Strategic Management Journal, the Academy of Management Journal, Organization Science and Management Science have higher h-indices than the Journal of Marketing and the Academy of Management Review (on average 55 versus on average 46), whereas they have much lower JIFs (on average 2.32 versus on average 3.95). However, as we have seen above, the high JIFs of the latter two journals are mostly caused by a very limited number (2-4) highly cited papers. Hence, we would argue that the h-index provides a more accurate picture of the generally very similar standing of these six iournals.

The key reason why some journals showed a relatively high h-index in comparison to their JIF lies in the broader coverage of Google Scholar. There are four main aspects to this broader coverage. Some journals have a strong policy impact and their articles are highly cited in policy documents or NBER working papers, neither of which are included in ISI. Articles in other journals, often published outside North America, are heavily cited in non North-American journals that are often not ISI indexed. Third, articles in some journals, especially in the area of computer science/information systems, are highly cited in conference papers, which tend to be the most important publication outlets in this field. Finally, some sub-disciplines such as Strategy and International Business generally have a low coverage in ISI (see Harzing and Van der Wal (2007) for a more detailed discussion of these factors). As a result the h-index better reflect the broad coverage of these journals.

Overall, we therefore argue that the Google Scholar h-index provides a more comprehensive measure of journal impact, both in terms of the number of journals and in terms of the number of citations covered, as well as a more stable measure of journal impact.

3.4. Numerical analysis of the divergence between JIF and h-index

Above, we have documented a number of cases where the JIF and h-index diverged in considerable detail. Table 2 provides a summary of the 50 most prominent cases of divergence. It was constructed by standardizing both the JIF (after giving a 0 score for all journals without a JIF) and the h-index and subtracting the JIF from the h-index. Since Psychology journals differed considerably from all other journals and would make up most of the top 25 on the left-hand side, we excluded them from the analysis.

Most of these journals have already been discussed in some detail above. Apart from some apparent errors in the ISI JIF and one deficiency in Google Scholar coverage, the major reason for a high JIF in comparison to the h-index appears to relate to journals that publish a small number of papers and/or have highly concentrated citation papers, where the top 10 most cited articles provide the bulk of citations. As a result the mean-type the JIF presents a less accurate reflection of a journal's overall impact than the h-index.

Reviewing the journal titles in the right-hand column, the single most important determinant of a high h-index in comparison to JIF seems to be the extent to which the journal publishes policy oriented papers that are highly cited in working papers and policy documents. Publishing a large number of papers and being cited in conference papers and non-ISI indexed journals provide secondary reasons for a high h-index. Overall, the h-index might therefore more suitable to measure a journal's wider economic or social impact rather than its impact on an academic audience only.

The so most prominent cases of all check between shi and n maes	Table 2: the 50 most	prominent	cases of	divergence	between	JIF	and	h-in	dex
---	----------------------	-----------	----------	------------	---------	-----	-----	------	-----

JIF exceeds h-index (top 25)		h-index exceeds JIF (top 25)	
ACM Computing Surveys	-6.65	American Economic Review	5.30
SIAM review	-4.90	Journal of Finance	3.93
Quarterly Journal of Economics ⁶	-3.81	European Economic Review	2.77
ACM Transactions on Information Systems	-3.52	Communications of the ACM	2.70
Human Computer Interaction	-3.46	Review of Economics & Statistics	
Journal of Economic Literature	-2.74	Research Policy	2.24
Academy of Management Review	-2.28	European Journal of Operational Research	2.10
Progress in Human Geography	-2.26	Econometrica	2.03
Human Resource Management	-2.09	Journal of Banking & Finance	
Journal of Economic Geography	-2.08	Management Science	
Annual Review of Sociology	-2.03	Journal of Public Economics	
Marketing Science	-1.89	Journal of Political Economy	
MIS Quarterly	-1.89	World Development	
Journal of Economic Growth	-1.72	Journal of Financial Economics	
ACM Trans. on Softw. Eng. and Methodology	-1.68	IEEE Trans. on Knowledge & Data Engineering	
Journal of Database Management	-1.68	Economic Journal	
Journal of Marketing	-1.58	European Journal of Political Economy	1.54
Annals of the Assoc. of American Geographers	-1.45	International Organization	1.50
Review of Accounting Studies	-1.45	International Journal of Project Management	1.46
Environment & Planning D	-1.44	American Journal of Public Health	
Journal of Organizational Behavior Management	-1.40	Journal of Econometrics	
Journal of Rural Studies	-1.36	Journal of Money, Credit & Banking	1.38
American Sociological Review	-1.28	ACM Trans. on Computer Human Interaction	1.38
Administrative Science Quarterly	-1.26	Journal of Knowledge Management	1.38
Structural Equation Modeling	-1.15	Review of Economic Studies	1.35

As we indicated above there are many journals, especially in Finance & Accounting, Marketing and General Management & Strategy that are not ISI-indexed. So how do these journals compare with journals that *are* ISI-indexed? As expected journals that are ISI-indexed in general have a significantly higher h-index (23.5 versus 11.5; t = 15.002, p < 0.000). But are there any non-ISI indexed journals with a relatively high h-index? In order to assess this we divided the journals by h-index into 4 equal groups. The quartile cut-off points for the h-index were 11, 16 and 24. Journals that ranked in the two top 50% (16 and above) in terms of h-index, but are not ISI-listed are listed in Table 3.

Journals with a high h-index that are not ISI listed occur in all disciplines, but are more frequent in the sub-disciplines identified above as having low ISI coverage. However, the single most distinguishing shared characteristic of these journals seems to be that they are published from Europe (usually by Blackwell, Elsevier, or Emerald) and generally have a European editor and a large proportion of non-US academics on the editorial board. Overall, nearly three quarters of the non-ISI indexed journals with a high h-index are European journals.⁷

⁶ As indicated above, this was the only case in which we could identify a clear deficiency in Google Scholar coverage that caused the low h-index.

⁷ We do not wish to imply that the ISI selection process has a bias against non-US journals. Without having knowledge of the actual number of European versus US journals that are submitted for possible inclusion in the database, it is impossible to assess this. It is possible that European editors display a self-selection bias and simply do not submit their journals for inclusion into ISI. Of course one reason for this could be the perceived bias against non-US journals. A similar debate is raging with regard to the representation of non-US authors in US-based journals.

European Journal of Political Economy 28 Europe International Journal of Project Management 27 Europe ACM Transactions on Computer Human Interaction 26 USA Journal of Knowledge Management 26 Europe Empirical Enconomics 24 Europe Accounting Horizons 23 USA European Management Journal 23 Europe Journal of Empirical Finance 23 Europe Journal of Financial Services Research 22 USA Review of Finance 22 Europe Journal of Business Finance & Accounting 21 Europe Accounting, Auditing & Accounting 20 Europe Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal 20 Europe International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management 20 USA Academy of Management Learning & Education 19 Europe International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management Jourope USA Academy of Management Learning & Education 19 Europe International Journal of Ph	Journal Title	H-index	Published in:
International Journal of Project Management27EuropeACM Transactions on Computer Human Interaction26USAJournal of Knowledge Management26EuropeEmpirical Economics24EuropeAccounting Horizons23USAEuropean Management Journal23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeJournal of Sinance & Accounting21EuropeLouropean Financial Management20EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeJoural of Division Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment19EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeHuman Researce17EuropeIonaria of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation17Eur	European Journal of Political Economy	28	Europe
ACM Transactions on Computer Human Interaction26USAJournal of Knowledge Management26EuropeEmpirical Economics23EuropeAccounting Horizons23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeJournal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeJournal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeHuman Resource Management Learning & Education19USAEuropee19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19Journal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19Journal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeIndustrial EcologyJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeIndustry and Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustry and Innov	International Journal of Project Management	27	Europe
Journal of Knowledge Management26EuropeEmpirical Economics24EuropeAccounting Horizons23USAEuropean Management Journal23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeEuropean Journal of Marketing22EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Financial Sevices Research22USAReview of Finance21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Disiness Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeHuman Resource Management Learning & Education19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology18EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInformational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInformational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeInformational Journal of Retail &	ACM Transactions on Computer Human Interaction	26	USA
Empirical Economics24EuropeAccounting Horizons23USAEuropean Management Journal23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeEuropean Journal of Marketing22EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Finance22EuropeJournal of Finance22EuropeLoropan Financial Services Research22EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeJournal of Business Strategy & the Environment20EuropeMcKinsey Quarterly20USAAccounting Accountability Journal20EuropeMcKinsey Quarterly20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeManagement Accounting Research18EuropeInternational Development18EuropeManagement Economics17EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeInternational Business Review17EuropeInternational Business Coopties17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Mana	Journal of Knowledge Management	26	Europe
Accounting Horizons23USAEuropean Management Journal23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeEuropean Journal of Marketing22EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeEuropean Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeMcKinsey Quarterly20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAAcademy of Management Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeJournal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJourn	Empirical Economics	24	Europe
European Management Journal23EuropeJournal of Empirical Finance23EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22USAReview of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeJournal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Consumer Marketing <td>Accounting Horizons</td> <td>23</td> <td>USA</td>	Accounting Horizons	23	USA
Journal of Empirical Finance23EuropeEuropean Journal of Marketing22EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accountability Journal20EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accountability Journal20EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accountability Journal20EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeInternational Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeInternational Business Review17EuropeInternational Business Review17EuropeInternational Research17USAJournal of Consmer Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17Europe<	European Management Journal	23	Europe
European Journal of Marketing22EuropeJournal of Environmental Management22EuropeJournal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeEuropean Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEurope Journal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of International Development19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeIndustrial Ecology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation17EuropeIndustry and Innovation17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology and People17EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation17EuropeJournal of Innotation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal	Journal of Empirical Finance	23	Europe
Journal of Environmental Mañagement22EuropeJournal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeEuropean Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAAcademy of Management Journal19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustrial Ecology18EuropeIndustrial Economic Review18EuropeIndustrial Economics of Innovation17EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeInternational Business Review17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Industrial Economics17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal Of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Consumer Marketing </td <td>European Journal of Marketing</td> <td>22</td> <td>Europe</td>	European Journal of Marketing	22	Europe
Journal of Financial Services Research22USAReview of Finance22EuropeEuropean Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation17EuropeInformational Development17EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation17EuropeInformational Business Review18EuropeInformational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Servi	Journal of Environmental Management	22	Europe
Review of Finance22EuropeEuropean Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeGerman Economics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInformation Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeJournal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Succes Marketing17EuropeJournal of Succes Marketing17EuropeJournal of Succes Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marke	Journal of Financial Services Research	22	USA
European Financial Management21EuropeJournal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeMcKinsey Quarterly20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19EuropeElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeResonce Review18EuropeInternational Development18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Suropes Cogistics17USAJournal of Suropes Cogistics17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Surope Coality17	Review of Finance	22	Europe
Journal of Business Finance & Accounting21EuropeAccounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeIndustry and Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Europe17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA <td>European Financial Management</td> <td>21</td> <td>Europe</td>	European Financial Management	21	Europe
Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal20EuropeBusiness Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeFuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeJournal of International Development19EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation17EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInformation J Ornal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16Asia <td< td=""><td>Journal of Business Finance & Accounting</td><td>21</td><td>Europe</td></td<>	Journal of Business Finance & Accounting	21	Europe
Business Strategy & the Environment20EuropeInternational Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness &	Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal	20	Europe
International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management20EuropeMcKinsey Quarterly20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USALowneal Council Council Council Council Council Council Council Council Council Cou	Business Strategy & the Environment	20	Europe
McKinsey Quarterly20USAAcademy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review17EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Susiess17USAJournal of Susiess17USAJournal of Susply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEuropean Management Accounting Business16USA	International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management	20	Europe
Academy of Management Learning & Education19USAElectronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope16USA	McKinsey Quarterly	20	USA
Electronic Markets19EuropeEuropean Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Service Quality17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality16USAManaging Service Accounting16Europe	Academy of Management Learning & Education	19	USA
European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology19EuropeHuman Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Susiness Logistics17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Electronic Markets	19	Europe
Human Resource Management Journal19EuropeJournal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Consumer Marketing17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAFurnese Acceuting Deve16USA	European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology	19	Europe
Journal of Industrial Ecology19USAJournal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Ensities17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope16USA	Human Resource Management Journal	19	Europe
Journal of International Development19EuropeManagement Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope16USA	Journal of Industrial Ecology	19	USA
Management Accounting Research19EuropeEconomics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Journal of International Development	19	Europe
Economics of Innovation and New Technology18EuropeGerman Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Management Accounting Research	19	Europe
German Economic Review18EuropeIndustry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Economics of Innovation and New Technology	18	Europe
Industry and Innovation18EuropeInternational Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	German Economic Review	18	Europe
International Business Review18EuropeApplied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Industry and Innovation	18	Europe
Applied Financial Economics17EuropeCritical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	International Business Review	18	Europe
Critical Perspectives on Accounting17EuropeElectronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Applied Financial Economics	17	Europe
Electronic Commerce Research17USAInformation Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope Device16USA	Critical Perspectives on Accounting	17	Europe
Information Technology and People17EuropeInternational Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Electronic Commerce Research	17	USA
International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management17EuropeJournal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope Assuration Parameter16USA	Information Technology and People	17	Europe
Journal of Business Logistics17USAJournal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management	17	Europe
Journal of Consumer Marketing17EuropeJournal of Financial Research17USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Journal of Business Logistics	17	USA
Journal of Financial Research1/USAJournal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USA	Journal of Consumer Marketing	17	Europe
Journal of Services Marketing17EuropeJournal of Supply Chain Management17USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope Assurating Davieur17Europe	Journal of Financial Research	1/	USA
Journal of Supply Chain Management1/USAManaging Service Quality17EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope16USA	Journal of Services Marketing	1/	Europe
Managing Service Quality1/EuropeAsia-Pacific Journal of Management16AsiaBusiness & Society16USAEurope Association Constraints16Constraints	Journal of Supply Chain Management	1/	USA
Asia-Pacific Journal of Management 16 Asia Business & Society 16 USA	Managing Service Quality	1/	Europe
Business & Society 16 USA	Asia-Pacific Journal of Management	16	Asia
	Business & Society	16	USA
European Accounting Review 16 Europe	European Accounting Review	16	Europe
Human Resource Management Review 16 Europe	Human Resource Management Review	16	Europe
Information and Organization 16 Europe	Information and Organization	16	Europe
International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management 16 Europe	International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management	16	Europe
Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing 16 Europe	Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing	16	Europe
Journal of Longuitational Finance 16 USA	Journal of Computational Finance	16	USA
	Journal of International Management	10	Europe
Journal of Travel Desparable 1/ USA	Journal of Public Economic Theory	10	USA
Journal of Traver Research Dragogenerit 16 USA	Juumarur Traver Research Knowledge and Dresses Management	10	USA
Nanogement Decision 14 Europe	Niluwieuye allu Plucess Mallayellielli Managament Desision	10	Europe
National Institute Economic Review 16 Europo	National Institute Economic Review	16	Europe

Table 3: Non-ISI indexed journals with a high h-index

4. Conclusions

In this paper we systematically compared the ranking of journals based on the traditionally used Thomson ISI JIF and the new Google Scholar based h-index. We have shown that any divergence between the two can generally be explained by either limitations in the way the JIF is calculated or by the more limited coverage of the ISI citation base. We conclude that in the field of Economics and Business, the Google Scholar based h-index provides a better alternative for journal rankings than the ISI JIF.It does not suffer from the same statistical limitations as the JIF and is more suitable to measure a journal's wider economic or social impact rather than its impact on an academic audience only. As such we argue it provides a more accurate and more comprehensive measure of journal impact.

References

Baylis, M.; Gravenor, M.; Kao, R. (1999) Sprucing up one's impact factor, Nature, vol. 401, 322.

- Bloch, S.; Walter, G. (2001) The Impact Factor: time for a change, *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 35, 563-568.
- Braun T, Glänzel W, Schubert A (2005) A Hirsch-type index for journals. The Scientist 19, 8.
- Bornmann, L.; Daniel, H-D.(2007) What do we know about the h index? *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58, 1381-1385.
- Baumgartner, H., Pieters, R. (2003) The structural influence of marketing journals: a citation analysis of the discipline and its subareas over time, *Journal of Marketing*, 67, 123-39.
- Cameron (2005) Trends in the Usage of ISI Bibliometric Data: Uses, Abuses, and Implication, *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 5, 105-125.
- DuBois, F. L. and Reeb, D. (2000) Ranking the international business journals, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 31, 689-704.
- Egghe, L. (2006) Theory and practice of the g-index, Scientometrics, 69, 131-152.
- Gisvold (1999) Citation analysis and journal impact factors is the tail wagging the dog?, *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*, 43, 971-973.
- Glänzel, W. (2006) On the opportunities and limitations of the H-index, Science Focus, 1, 10-11.
- Gowrishankar J.; Divakar, P. (1999) Sprucing up one's impact factor, *Nature*, 401, 321-322.
- Groot, T.; Garcia-Valderrama, T. (2006) Research quality and efficiency. An analysis of assessments and management issues in Dutch economics and business research programs, 35, 1362-1376.
- Ha, T.C.; Tan, S.B.; Soo, K.C. (2006) The Journal Impact Factor: Too Much of an Impact?, *Annals Academy of Medicine*, 35, 911-916.
- Harzing, A.W.K. (2007a), Journal Quality List, 28th Edition, http://www.harzing.com/
- Harzing, A.W.K. (2007b), Google Scholar a new data source for citation analysis, white paper, downloaded from <u>www.harzing.com/pop_gs</u> on 16 October.
- Harzing, A.W.K.; Wal, R. van der (in press) Google Scholar: the democratization of citation analysis?, *Ethics in Science and Environmental Politics*.
- Hirsch, J.E. (2005) An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output, arXiv:physics/0508025 v5 29 Sep 2006.
- McDonald, S.; Kam. J. (2007) Ring a ring o' roses: Quality journals and gamesmanship in Management Studies, *Journal of Management Studies*, 44, 640-55.
- McGarty, C. (2000) The citation impact factor in social psychology: a bad statistic that encourages bad science, *Current Research in Social Psychology*, 5, 1-16.
- Mingers, J. Harzing, A.W.K. (2007) Ranking journals in Business and Management: A statistical analysis of the Harzing Dataset, *European Journal of Information Systems*, 16, 303-316.
- Nederhof, A.J.; Raan, A.F.J. van (1993) A bibliometric analysis of six economics research groups: A comparison with peer review, *Research Policy*, 22, 353-368.
- Reedijk, J. (1998) Sense and nonsense of science citation analyses: comments on the monopoly position of ISI and citation inaccuracies. Risks of possible misuse and biased citation and impact data, *New J. Chem.*, 767-770.
- Rinia, E.J.; Leeuwen, Th.N. van; Vuren, H.G. van; Raan, A.F.J. van (1998) Comparative analysis of a set of bibliometric indicators and central peer review criteria. Evaluation of condensed matter physics in the Netherlands, *Research Policy*, 27, 95-107.
- Saad G (2006) Exploring the h-index at the author and journal levels using bibliometric data of productive consumer scholars and business-related journals respectively. *Scientometrics* 69, 117-120.
- Seglen, P.O. (1997) Why the impact factor of journals should not be used for evaluating research, *British Medical Journal*, 314, 497-502.
- Tahai, A. and Meyer, M. (1999), A revealed preference study of management journals' direct influences, *Strategic Management Journal*, 20, 279-296.
- Whitehouse, G.H. (2002) Impact factors: facts and myths, European Radiology, 12, 715-717.

Figures

Figure 1 : JIF versus h-index for all journals







Figure 3: JIF versus h-index for Economics journals (excl. American Economic Review)

Figure 4: JIF versus h-index for Finance & Accounting journals (excl. Journal of Finance)



Figure 5: JIF versus h-index for General Management & Strategy journals



Figure 6: JIF versus h-index for Management Information Systems and Knowledge Management journals (excl. ACM Computing Surveys)



Figure 7: JIF versus h-index for Management Science/Operations Research/Production and Operations Management journals (excl. SIAM Review)





Figure 9: JIF versus h-index for Organizational Behavior/Studies and Human Resource Management/Industrial Relations journals



.00